## Descriptive Set Theory Lecture 17

tryphicity and ergodic theory 1:= the study of transformation or (semi) groups of them on measure spaces, hypically probability) have applications to a 27-year-old subject colled descriptive combinatorics, Mich deals with Bonel (or more severally, definable) graphs on Polish apriles it tries to understand volouring/matching quection about them with regularity withins on these objects (e.g. a Borel colouring).

A Bonel graph on a Polith spice X is a Borel subset a SX<sup>2</sup> s.t. it's symmetric of irreflexive. A graph is heally abort/finite if each vertex has ally /finitely many mightours. Locally attal Bonel graphy wise from Bonel actions FX of attal groups F.

lt in X be a Borel action of let S be a symmetric generating set for T. We define the Schreiter graph he of this action with S as follows: U a, y EX, (x,y) E hs : <=> y= y.x for some NES.

The connected components of as are exactly the orbits of the action TAX. If the action is fare (i.e. no wonidentity group element has a tixed point) then each component of his is a copy of the Cayley graph of T vert S.  $X \xrightarrow{i}_{orbits} G_{s} X \xrightarrow{i}_{orbits} G_{s} X \xrightarrow{i}_{orbits} G_{s} X \xrightarrow{i}_{orbits} G_{s} X$ 

For excepte, the Hanning graph on 2" is exactly the Schreier graph et the group  $\bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$  with the generating ut  $\{T_n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$ , there  $T_n := \{0, 0, ..., 0, 1\}$  if n acts on  $\mathbb{Z}^{(N)}$ via flipping the uter bit, so the action is continuous.

Now let le be the Schreier graph at an irrational rotation 2 s' by the rotation Ta. Since and on powent is a Z-line, we can choose a starting point in each concert via Axion of Choice I obtain a 2-alouring of h, so the chromatic number of Ch is 2, X(G)=2.

What is the Bord / necessary ble/Baire necessary ble heads in the source of  $C_1$ ? Clearly,  $2 = \chi(u) \stackrel{\ell}{=} \chi_{\chi}(c) = \chi_{\mathcal{B}}(G)$ . S' Thus,  $\chi_{\mathcal{B}}(G) = 3$ . The following is a consequence of (generic) organizing:  $\frac{\chi_{a}}{P_{cop}} = \chi_{\lambda}(G) = \chi_{BM}(G) = 3 = \chi_{B}(G).$ Proof Suppose there is a measurable 2-solouring, the solours are reasurable sets B of B. Note that B is, Each component: B B B rotation Tod by 2d, Mich is still irrational, so the action is still ergodic. Thus B is null or could. But  $\lambda(B) = \lambda(B^c) = \frac{1}{2}$  be use  $T_{\lambda}(B)$ = B' il rotation preserver reacting contradiction. Same works for a BM woonr B bene Ta(B) = B' I Ta is a homomorphism so B is measured as B is negler Bonel set al hierarchy. A mensurable space is a pair (X, 3) sure X is a set al S is a s-algebra on if.

Excelles. For a Polith space X, (X, B(X)), (X, BM(X)), J (X, MEASJN(X)) for some Borel mensure fra X. For a given s-aly. Son X, we say ht a ESP(X) vendering Z. For ZED(X), let -Z= YA: AEZ, Prop. If & generates a s-algo 5 and 5'2E, 7E & 5'55 is losed under able unions of able intersections, then 5'= 5. Proof let 5" := \ A ∈ g' : A, A ∈ 5' }, ... 5" ⊆ 5' ⊆ 5, ~l ve show that 5"=5. By def, Es S" I S" is closed muler wylevents. let (An) 55". Then UALES' I (UAn) = MAn ES lense An ES. Thus, S" is a s-cly containing E. For measurable spaces (X, A) I (Y, B) a function

 $f: (X, \phi) \rightarrow (Y, B)$  is called measurable if  $f'(B) \in \phi$ . If Y is a dop space, then by default we turn it into a measurable space (Y, B(Y)). Thus, a function  $f: X \rightarrow Y$ is called  $\phi$ -measurable if if is measurable a taution

from (K, A) to (Y, B(Y)). This equivalent to preimages of open suts being in to bene at the following Prop. let (X, b) I (Y, B) be reasonable spaces I E is a generating sol for B. Then her any fix-Y, if f'(E) & A hen f is neasurchde. Proof. let B' = { B = B = f'(B) < by. Then B' 2 E I B' is a J-dyebra bun \$ is, so B'= B.

Det. let X be a top. space. We define the Bonel hierarchy as follows: Zill= the collection of open site. For any etcl ordinal a, define Ta(x) = - Za(x). Suppose Ta(x) is defined for all ged difers, I define Zo(X) = YVAn Ane TTP for Buddy.  $A(s_{o}, \Delta_{\alpha}^{\circ}(x) = \Sigma_{\alpha}^{\circ}(x) \cap T_{\alpha}^{\circ}(x).$ 

Prop. For my netrizable X, the picture is:  $\Sigma_{i}^{\circ} \subseteq \overline{\Sigma}_{2}^{\circ}$   $\Delta_{i}^{\circ} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \Omega_{2}^{\circ} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{=} \overline{\Pi}_{2}^{\circ} \stackrel{\mathcal{L}}{\longrightarrow}$  $\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \Delta_{d+1}^{0} \cdots$  $\mathcal{B}(\mathbf{x})$ 

(a)  $\forall P < d < \omega$ ,  $\Delta_{\mathcal{P}}^{\circ}(X) \leq \sum_{\beta \in \mathcal{N}}^{\circ}(X) \leq \Lambda_{\mathcal{A}}^{\circ}(X)$ . (b)  $\mathcal{B}(x) - \bigcup_{d \in \omega_1} \mathcal{O}(x) = \bigcup_{d \in \omega_1} \mathcal{O}(x) = \bigcup_{d \in \omega_1} \mathcal{O}(x).$ Proof. (4) Enough to prove Z\_B = A\_2 barse A' is closed where complements. For ZB & Ad, the part ZB & TTd is by def, so we show  $\sum_{s}^{\circ} \subseteq \sum_{d}^{\circ}$ . For P-1 1 d=2, his is just the statement At open uts are For, which true is metrizable spaces. For x>2, B=1, we have Z' = TI2 = Zd. For B72 then Zjz itself is a union of previous IT-sets, and so is Zdr hus Zr= Zd. (b) All ynalities except the first follow from (c). For the First one 2 is obvious (technically by incluction on b) I a follow from the regularity of w, Much implies MA UZa(x) is dosed under etbl unious: if dn & w, w An E Zdu then d = sup du is still 2 w, so all An E Za, hence VAn E Zorr So UZ, = UA, D a O-algebra containing Z.